Aligning Policing and Harm Reduction: Research to Practice

Leo Beletsky, JD, MPH Northeastern University USA

Overview

- 1. General background
- 2. Research Illustrations
 - Law reform
 - Institutional policies
 - Training
 - Monitoring and evaluation
- 3. Research to practice

General Background

Vulnerable Groups and Public Health

- Spread of HIV and other disease among injection drug users, sex workers, and other high-risk groups: a longstanding problem that continues to grow rapidly
- Public health/harm reduction measures have been shown to address the spread of infectious disease among these populations
- Police work targeting these populations can impede or facilitate public health efforts

Harm Reduction Perspective



Photo by A. Cabrera

- criminalization pushes activities underground, thus impacting public health
- laws shape vulnerable groups' access to syringes, condoms, and public health prevention programs (2,4)
- abuses perpetrated by police against vulnerable groups often go unaddressed (e.g. sexual violence, extortion, forced confessions) (6)
- encounters with police (arrest, syringe or condom confiscation) associated with risk behavior and increased levels of infectious disease(1, 3, 4)
- police interference with public health programs reduces their impact, fuelling epidemics (2, 6-8)

Policing Perspective



- Function is to enforce the law
 - Fear occupational risks from contact with at-risk groups, poor knowledge of basic health information
 - Cultural norms support negative attitudes toward harm reduction and other public health interventions
 - Lack of clarity about the policies governing harm reduction programs
 - Frustration with tools to address the root causes of drug abuse (poverty, lack of available treatment, etc.)
 - Competing priorities, including politics, economics and community groups

Aligning Policing-Public Heath

- 1. Law Reform
- Changes in institutional policies/guidelines
 Police trainings
- 4. Collaboration structures to bridge sectors
- 5. Changing incentives
- 6. Monitoring and evaluation

Research Illustrations

Law Reform: Mexico

- 2010 law decriminalizing possession of small amounts of drugs
- syringe possession and condom possession is legal and should not be used as evidence of criminal activity
- Large cohort study of 750 drug users in Tijuana, Mexico

Law Reform: Mexico

- It is illegal to possess any amount of heroin: 90%
- It is illegal to possess clean syringes: 83%
- It is illegal to possess condoms: 16%
- Police conduct does not reflect formal law: 85%

Institutional Policies: Kyrgyzstan

Instruction 417:

- how to conduct enforcement involving vulnerable groups
- specific practices related to harm reduction programs and outreach activities
- occupational safety protections for police
- national survey of 319 police officers

Institutional Policies: Kyrgyzstan

- 43% were aware of instruction 417
- those who were aware were significantly more likely to have
 - better knowledge about, and better attitudes towards harm reduction programs
 - better understanding of due process for sex worker detention
- 44% support referrals to harm reduction programs, but less than 20% report past referrals

Police Training: Baltimore

Training Model

- Both police academy and continuing education
- Framing: occupational safety, workload reduction, staff turnover
- Presenters model collaboration
- Evaluation (pre-post)
- Components:
 - 1. Infectious disease knowledge and prevention
 - 2. Laws and policies
 - 3. Public health programs and evidence

It is legal for needle exchange clients to carry used syringes and other drug injection equipment?



If syringes are found during a search but the person is not arrested, I would confiscate them.



Access to clean syringes through pharmacies or syringe exchange promotes drug use.



The syringe exchange program helps drug users get into drug treatment



Monitoring: Los Angeles



Monitoring: Los Angeles



Monitoring Barriers: Kyrgyzstan

Percentages of SW and IDUs Willing to Report Adverse Encounters with Police (last 6 mo.)*



Monitoring Barriers: Los Angeles



Collaboration: Kyrgyzstan

Percentages of Vulnerable Group Respondents Given Information about Harm Reduction Programs by Law Enforcement (last 6 mo.)*



Summary and Discussion

Key Challenges

- substantial gaps exist in police knowledge of key laws and policies related to harm reduction efforts;
- negative attitudes about these efforts are prevalent among police, fuelled by social, cultural and religious influences;
- enforcement practices that contravene public health goals and civil or human rights are commonplace
- vast majority of interventions never evaluated
- barriers to accurate surveillance of police practices affecting vulnerable groups

Key Opportunities

- there is evidence that some police already do, and more are willing to help connect vulnerable individuals with harm reduction services;
- prevalence of occupational injuries, low knowledge about response procedures and high anxiety about occupational risk demonstrate opportunity for public health education and collaboration;
- policy, training, and incentive-building interventions have shown promise and should be scaled up
- adopt a "harm reduction" approach
- monitoring and evaluation are key

Acknowledgements

- Baltimore City Department of Health Risk Reduction Services and Baltimore City Police Department
- Homeless Healthcare, Los Angeles
- AFEW Kyrgyzstan and Kyrgyz Police
- Open Society Foundations: IHRD, SHARP, Campaign for New Drug Policy
- National Institutes of Health/National Institutes on Drug Abuse (R37DA019829)
- Tides Foundation (Rapid Response)
- Rhode Island Foundation

Contact

- Leob@alumni.brown.edu
- +1-617-373-5540
- Literature:

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?p er_id=567550